



University of Campinas – UNICAMP
Institute of Geosciences – IG
Graduate Programme in Geosciences – PPG-Geosciences
Geology and Natural Resources Area
(Grade 6 in CAPES)

ADMISSION PROCESS
MASTER'S AND DOCTORATE
2026 (FIRST SEMESTER)

The Coordination of the Graduate Programme in Geosciences (PPG-Geosciences) informs that applications are open for the Admission Process of the Graduate in Geosciences at the Institute of Geosciences – UNICAMP, for Master's and Doctorate degrees, for entry in the FIRST SEMESTER OF 2026.

The admission process reserves 20% of the places in the master's and doctorate programs for candidates who self-identify as black (*preto(a)*) or mixed-race (*pardo(a)*), in accordance with the provisions of Item IV of this process. These candidates will be called "*optantes*", and must necessarily submit the self-declaration as per Annex 4 and indicate their option for quotas on the application form.

I – APPLICATION

APPLICATION DEADLINE: Applications will be open from 00:00 hours on SEPTEMBER 15th to 23:59 hours on NOVEMBER 16th, 2025 (verified by the date and time of receipt of the electronic form with all supporting documents, considering BRT time).

The application process will occur exclusively by electronic means.

Electronic Form: submission of all documentation (item II) through the following link:

<https://forms.gle/sr9wztt2bS76jGtV9>

Observations:

- (i) Read the Admission Process carefully before filling out and submitting the documents.
- (ii) Applications or documents submitted after the application deadline (date and time of receipt of the form) will not be accepted.
- (iii) It is recommended that candidates consult with potential advisors in advance to read this document and to correctly fill out the required requirements.

II – REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION FOR APPLICATION

Only applications that present all the required documents and in compliance with the norms described below will be accepted:

- All supporting documents for the curriculum must be sent in Portable Document File (.PDF) format and oriented in the correct direction for reading. Documents digitized in an inverted or sideways manner will not be accepted.
- All supporting documents of the curriculum must be numbered and included in the Excel table as per the model of the Table of Discrimination of Sent Documents.

- All supporting documents of the curriculum must be ordered in the fields specified in the electronic form (<https://forms.gle/sr9wztt2bS76jGtV9>), with the same denomination as the columns of the table (B, C, ...) of Scoring for Admission (table in Excel format, .xlsx extension). The documents may be written in Portuguese, Spanish, or English.
- The Scoring Table for admission must be submitted in its original format (.xlsx extension).
Observation: Tables whose macros have been altered will not be accepted. If this occurs, the candidate will be automatically disqualified from the selection process.
- Documents that are not organized as specified above will not be considered supporting documents and, consequently, will not be counted in the curriculum grade.

FOR THE MASTER'S DEGREE

1. Mandatory registration form from the *Diretoria Acadêmica* (DAC): the candidate must access the https://sistemas.dac.unicamp.br/siga/ingresso/candidato/efetuar_login_candidato.xhtml?code=1497038006922 site.
After completing the form, click on the "save" button and then on "print." This button gives the option to generate a PDF, and this file is what must be delivered along with the other documents for registration, as it obtains all the necessary data.
ATTENTION: Observe the status of your registration form. It needs to be complete (with all fields filled in). If it is incomplete, your approval in the DAC system will not be possible after the result of the admission process.
2. Letter of acceptance from the advisor.
3. Application form (document from the annexes of this Call).
4. One recent 3x4 photo (digitalized).
5. Curriculum Vitae (updated and documented).
6. A copy of the Undergraduate Record (complete or provisional, valid and issued by the originating educational institution, if the candidate is still attending).
7. A copy of the Undergraduate Diploma (or valid equivalent, and issued by the originating educational institution, until the acquisition of the official diploma).
8. Research project (according to the model of the São Paulo Research Foundation – FAPESP).
9. Excel file (scoring table for admission.xlsx) duly filled out with the data for calculating the average of the first phase, according to instructions contained in item III.1 (document from the annexes of this Call).
10. Table of Discrimination of Sent Documents in PDF format (document from the annexes of this Call).
11. In the case of a candidate opting for the places reserved for black people, present the self-declaration according to Annex 4.

Observations:

- i. The PPG-Geosciences Committee, Admission Committee, and Graduate Secretariat are not responsible for delays in registration or any errors committed by the candidates in filling out the forms and sent files. The veracity of the information is the responsibility of the candidate.
- ii. The document review will be carried out exclusively by the PPG-Geosciences Admission Committee after the end of the registrations.

- iii. The Master's research project, occupying a maximum of 20 pages typed with double spacing, must be presented clearly and elaborated in accordance with the model suggested by FAPESP for requesting master's and doctoral scholarships. The model can be accessed at <http://www.fapesp.br/253>.

FOR THE DOCTORATE DEGREE

1. Mandatory registration form from the *Diretoria Acadêmica* (DAC): the candidate must access the https://sistemas.dac.unicamp.br/siga/ingresso/candidato/efetuar_login_candidato.xhtml?code=1497038006922 site
After completing the form, click on the "save" button and then on "print." This button gives the option to generate a PDF, and this file is what must be delivered along with the other documents for registration, as it obtains all the necessary data.
ATTENTION: Observe the status of your registration form. It needs to be complete (with all fields filled in). If it is incomplete, your approval in the DAC system will not be possible after the result of the admission process.
2. Letter of acceptance from the advisor.
3. Application form (document from the annexes of this Call).
4. One recent 3x4 photo (digitalized).
5. Curriculum Vitae (updated and documented).
6. A copy of the Undergraduate Record (complete or provisional, valid and issued by the originating educational institution, if the candidate is still attending).
7. A copy of the Undergraduate Diploma (or valid equivalent, and issued by the originating educational institution, until the acquisition of the official diploma).
8. A copy of the Master's Record (complete or provisional, valid and issued by the originating educational institution, if the candidate is attending the master's program).
9. A copy of the Master's Diploma (or valid equivalent, and issued by the originating educational institution, until the acquisition of the official diploma).
10. Research project (according to the model of the São Paulo Research Foundation – FAPESP).
11. Excel file (scoring table for admission.xlsx) duly filled out with the data for calculating the average of the first phase, according to instructions contained in item III.1 (document from the annexes of this Call).
12. Table of Discrimination of Sent Documents in PDF format (document from the annexes of this Call).
13. In the case of a candidate opting for the places reserved for black people, present the self-declaration according to Annex 4.

Observations:

- i. The PPG-Geosciences Committee, Admission Committee, and Graduate Secretariat are not responsible for delays in registration or any errors committed by the candidates in filling out the forms and sent files. The veracity of the information is the responsibility of the candidate.
- ii. The document review will be carried out exclusively by the PPG-Geosciences Admission Committee after the end of the registrations.
- iii. The Master's research project, occupying a maximum of 20 pages typed with double spacing,

must be presented clearly and elaborated in accordance with the model suggested by FAPESP for requesting master's and doctoral scholarships. The model can be accessed at <http://www.fapesp.br/253>.

III – ADMISSION PROCESS

The admission process will be in a single phase and will consist of: (a) Curriculum Evaluation (with a weight of 75% in the final grade); (b) Evaluation of the Research Project (with a weight of 25% in the final grade), described as follows:

a) Curriculum Evaluation

Table 1 – Evaluation items and respective weights.

Item of evaluation		Grade weight	
		Master's	Doctorate
1	Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment	20	10
2	Publication (abstract, expanded abstract or complete work) at a Geosciences conference/symposium	7,5	2,5
3	Publication of scientific article, book or book chapter, patents filed or granted in Geosciences	10	20
4	Undergraduate record (valid by the originating educational institution, until the acquisition of diploma).	35	15
5	Graduate record (valid by the originating educational institution, until the acquisition of diploma).	Not applicable	25
6	Courses and other extracurricular activities in Geosciences.	2,5	2,5

- For evaluation item 1, 1 point will be computed for activities with a proven duration of up to 6 months, for activities between 6 months and 1 year the value will be 2, and for employment with more than 1 year, 1 point will be computed so that each year of experience will be worth 1 up to a maximum of 10 years.
- For items 2, 3, and 6, 2 points will be computed per supporting document (in the case of point 2, only a complete copy of the originals of the abstract, expanded abstract, or published work will be considered as a publication in a congress/symposium). For items 4 and 5, the total score will be equal to the Grade Point Average (GPA), or equivalent amount, provided in the School Record. The Admission Committee may disregard any document that proves an activity unrelated to Geosciences.
- The final grade of the Curriculum Evaluation will be determined by the average of the score obtained by the candidate in each of the items in Table 1, weighted by their respective weights. This grade will correspond to 75% of the final average of the first phase.
- To calculate the final grade of the Curriculum Evaluation, candidates must enter their curricular data in the file "Scoring table for admission.xlsx", in the "Master's" or "Doctorate" spreadsheets according to the instructions below.

Guidelines for filling out the spreadsheets:

- Fill in only the second line, highlighted in green, without changing the calculation formulas, which are used only for information to the candidate about their curricular grade, which will

be calculated automatically in cell B4, highlighted in yellow. The data entered in the spreadsheet will later be verified by the Admission Committee based on the submitted supporting documents, which may generate changes in the initially calculated grade if there are discrepancies.

Master's Degree

Table 2

Column	Description
A	Name of the candidate
B	Number of supporting documents for Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment lasting up to 6 months
C	Number of supporting documents for Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment lasting longer than 6 months
D	Number of documents for publications in conferences/symposium (only complete copies of the originals of abstracts, expanded abstracts, or published works will be considered as publication in conferences/symposium).
E	Number of supporting documents for publications of scientific articles, books, or book chapters, patents filed or granted (only complete copies of the originals of the published works will be considered as publication of articles or book chapters).
F	Average obtained by the candidate in the undergraduate record. If the course has an evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.), follow the guidelines for "evaluation by concept."
G	Maximum possible grade in the undergraduate school course. Most courses use grades from 0 to 10, but there are courses with evaluations from 0 to 20, or from 0 to 100, for example. Fill in the maximum grade that it would be possible to obtain (20 or 100 in the examples given).
H	Number of supporting documents for courses and other extracurricular activities considered relevant by the candidate. These items will be re-evaluated for relevance to the research area sought by the candidate.

Definitions:

Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment: Certificates or declarations signed by the employer, project coordinator, or funding agency.

Observation: Certificates and declarations of jobs, internships, or participation in events must be issued and signed by the legal representatives of the company, public agency, or event organizer. Documents without date and signatures of the responsible parties will not be accepted.

Publication in conferences/symposium: the published work must be presented together with proof of participation in the event (when the presenter is the candidate in this selection process). Only complete copies of the published works will be considered (abstract, expanded abstract, or work).

Publication in conferences/symposium: only complete copies of the published works will be considered (abstract, expanded abstract, or complete work).

Scientific article: only complete copies of the originals of articles published in an arbitrated scientific journal, with ISSN, will be considered.

Book Chapter: only complete copies of the originals of the chapters that are part of books with

ISSN will be considered.

Evaluation by concept:

- If the undergraduate course has an evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.) instead of grades (from 0 to 10, for example), fill in column F with the grade corresponding to the concept in Table 3, and fill in column G with the value 10.

Table 3

Concept	From A to D	From A to E	From A to F
	Equivalent grades to fill columns F and H		
A	10	10	10
B	6,5	7,5	8
C	3,5	5	6
D	0	2	4
E		0	2
F			0

If there are intermediate concepts (B+ or C-, for example) take the average between the upper and lower grades.

DOCTORATE

Table 4

Column	Description
A	Name of the candidate
B	Number of supporting documents for Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment lasting up to 6 months
C	Number of supporting documents for Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment lasting longer than 6 months
D	Number of documents for publications in conferences/symposium (only complete copies of the originals of abstracts, expanded abstracts, or published works will be considered as publication in conferences/symposium).
E	Number of supporting documents for publications of scientific articles, books, or book chapters, patents filed or granted (only complete copies of the originals of the published works will be considered as publication of articles or book chapters).
F	Average obtained by the candidate in the undergraduate record. If the course has an evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.), follow the guidelines for "evaluation by concept."
G	Maximum possible grade in the undergraduate course. Most courses use grades from 0 to 10, but there are courses with evaluations from 0 to 20, or from 0 to 100, for example. Fill in the maximum grade that it would be possible to obtain (20 or 100 in the examples given).
H	Average obtained by the candidate in the graduate record. If the course has an evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.), follow the guidelines for "evaluation by concept".
I	Maximum possible grade in your graduate course. Most courses use grades from 0 to 10, but there are courses with evaluations from 0 to 20, or from 0 to 100, for example. Fill in the maximum grade that it would be possible to obtain (20 or 100 in the examples given). If the course has an evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.), follow the

	guidelines for "evaluation by concept".
J	Number of supporting documents for courses and other extracurricular activities considered relevant by the candidate. These items will be re-evaluated for relevance to the research area sought by the candidate.

Definitions:

Scientific Initiation/Internship/Tutoring/Employment: Certificates or declarations signed by the employer, project coordinator, or funding agency.

Observation: Certificates and declarations of jobs, internships, or participation in events must be issued and signed by the legal representatives of the company, public agency, or event organizer. Documents without date and signatures of the responsible parties will not be accepted.

Publication in conferences/symposium: the published work must be presented together with proof of participation in the event (when the presenter is the candidate in this selection process). Only complete copies of the published works will be considered (abstract, expanded abstract, or work).

Publication in conferences/symposium: only complete copies of the published works will be considered (abstract, expanded abstract, or complete work).

Scientific article: only complete copies of the originals of articles published in an arbitrated scientific journal, with ISSN, will be considered.

Book Chapter: only complete copies of the originals of the chapters that are part of books with ISSN will be considered.

Evaluation by concept:

- If the graduation or graduate course has evaluation by concept (A, B, C etc.) instead of grades (of 0 to 10, for example), fill columns F and H with the scores corresponding to your concept (Table 5) and fill columns G and I with the value 10.

Table 5

Concept	From A to D	From A to E	From A to F
	Equivalent grades to fill columns F and H		
A	10	10	10
B	6,5	7,5	8
C	3,5	5	6
D	0	2	4
E		0	2
F			0

If there are intermediate concepts (B+ or C-, for example) take the average between the upper and lower grades.

b) Evaluation of the Research Project

The evaluation of the research project (candidates for the Master's and Doctorate) will be carried out by the analysis of two evaluators external to the Admission Committee, but professors accredited in the PPG-Geosciences of the Institute of Geosciences of UNICAMP, specialists in the research area. The evaluation will be anonymous and the evaluation sheets of the projects will not be disclosed. The score of each evaluated item of the project is presented in Table 6. To calculate

the grade of the project, the average between the two grades given by each of the evaluators will be calculated.

Observation: In case of discrepancies in the grades of the evaluators (grades with a difference equal to or greater than 2 points), the Admission Committee will evaluate the project and issue a grade. The final grade for the evaluation of the research project will correspond to the average among the three grades (2 evaluators and the Admission Committee).

Table 6 – Items for evaluating the research project Master's and Doctorate

General opinion about the Doctorate/Master's project	
1. Analyze the proposed RESEARCH PROJECT, according to the script below:	
Project Name:	
1.1 Definition and relevance of objectives.	
A) Well defined with clear objectives () (10 points) B) Defined with partially clear objectives () (8 points) C) Partially defined with partially clear objectives () (6 points) D) Poorly defined with poorly clear objectives () (4 points) E) Without definition and without clear objectives () (0 points)	
1.2 Originality and importance of the intended contribution to the area of knowledge in which the proposed project is inserted.	
A) Original and with important for the area () (10 points) B) Original and partially important to the area () (8 points) C) Not original but partially important to the area () (6 points) D) Not original and partially important to the area () (4 points) E) Not original and not important to the area () (0 points).	
1.3 Theoretical/scientific foundation.	
A) Well substantiated scientifically () (5 points) B) Partially substantiated scientifically () (3 points) C) Not substantiated scientifically () (0 points)	
1.4 Materials and methods.	
A) Materials and methods appropriate to the objectives () (5 points) B) Materials and methods partially appropriate to the objectives () (3 points) C) Materials and methods not appropriate to the objectives () (0 points)	
1.5 Suitability of the project to a postgraduate program.	

- A) Adequate () (10 points)
 B) Partially adequate () (5 points)
 C) Not adequate () (0 points)

1.6 Analysis of the feasibility of the project's execution (consider time, laboratory structure, budget for analysis).

- A) Feasible () (10 points)
 B) Partially feasible () (5 points)
 C) Unfeasible () (0 points)

1.7 Conclusion about the analysis of the Research Project presented (mandatory completion)

- () Excellent (10 points)
 () Very good (9 points)
 () Very good, with some easily remedied deficiencies (8 points)
 () Good (7 points)
 () Good with deficiencies (6 points)
 () Regular (5 points)
 () With serious deficiencies (0 points)

2. DEFICIENCIES NOTED IN THE PROPOSAL (If any of the items below are marked, the reasons must be explained in the corresponding box on the form).

2.1 About the Master's/Doctorate Project:

- () Project with poorly defined, excessive, or incongruous objectives
 () Project with excessively limited objectives
 () Project not very original
 () Little significant contribution to the area of knowledge
 () Insufficient scientific foundation/inadequate methodology
 () Inappropriate for a postgraduate program
 () Questionable execution feasibility

2.2 Final grade ()

3. GENERAL COMMENTS ON THE OPINION

III.1 – Result of the admission process

The final grade will be calculated by the sum of the grades of the Curriculum Evaluation (with a weight of 75%) and the Evaluation of the Research Project (with a weight of 25%). Candidates with a final grade ≥ 5.0 will be part of the list of classified candidates in the selection process.

IV – ENGLISH LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY EXAM

Candidates selected in the Admission Process according to the criterion established in item III of this Call must present proof of English language proficiency by the end of the first year of the Master's or Doctorate programs. The accepted exams and minimum scores are available on the website of the PPG-Geosciences.

V – SCHOLARSHIPS

Admission to the Master's or Doctorate courses of the PPG-Geosciences does not guarantee a scholarship to the student.

The distribution of scholarships available to the PPG-Geosciences will be done by a Scholarship Committee (whose composition is the same as the Admission Committee).

If there are scholarships available, the approved candidates who do not have any paid activity may apply for scholarships from the Program.

The distribution of scholarships from the Program quota (CAPES and CNPq) will be done in the order of classification in the admission process, based on the average of admission grades (Curriculum Evaluation and Research Project Evaluation).

In case of a tie between two or more candidates, the tiebreaker criterion will be the final average obtained by the student in the Curriculum Evaluation. The second tiebreaker criterion will be the grade of the research project. The third tiebreaker criterion will be the Grade Point Average (GPA) of the graduate course. If the tie persists, the tiebreaker will be given in favor of the oldest candidate.

Scholarships from other sources (e.g. PRH-ANP) will be distributed according to criteria adopted by the specific committee that regulates the distribution of resources.

The distribution of scholarships will be made according to the ranking of the candidates in the admission process, with preference for candidates who opt for quotas. The waiting list for scholarships will be defined as follows:

1st scholarship available – awarded to the 1st place of the approved optants;

2nd scholarship - awarded to the 1st place of the approved by broad competition;

3rd scholarship - awarded to the 2nd place of the candidates opting;

4th scholarship - awarded to the 2nd place of the approved by broad competition;

And so on until completing 20% of the scholarships for the optants and/or the scholarships are exhausted.

Candidates who do not need scholarships only compete in the Admission Process.

Observation: The CAPES and CNPq scholarships for master's students will only be implemented upon presentation of the Graduate Diploma or Official Course Completion Document.

The CAPES and CNPq scholarships for doctoral students will only be implemented upon presentation of the Diploma or defense record of the Master's degree.

VI – CALENDAR

Table 7 – Calendar.

15/09/2025	Registration opening
16/11/2025	Registration deadline (until 23:59, Brasilia time)
19/11/2025	Registration approval
23/11/2025	Presentation of appeals via e-mail (until 23:59, Brasilia time)
24/11/2025	Disclosure of accepted registrations
27/11/2025	Preliminary result of selected candidates
28/11/2025	Presentation of appeals via e-mail (until 23:59, Brasilia time)
01/12/2025	Final admission result

All communication regarding the Selection Process will be by electronic means (internet) on the website: <https://portal.ige.unicamp.br/pos-graduacao> (access “Admission”).

VII – ADMISSION COMMITTEE

The Admission Committee is composed of at least 3 (three) professors from the Permanent Faculty of the PPG-Geosciences, with activities coordinated by the Coordinator of PPG-Geosciences.

VIII – APPEALS

Candidates may present an appeal regarding possible defects in this Call within the deadline established in the Calendar, exclusively by digital means. Each appeal must be sent in a single file (PDF format) to the email address: inscricoespggeologia@unicamp.br. Appeals will be submitted to the appreciation of the Admission Committee. The result of the appeal will be forwarded to the candidate, also by electronic means, within 72 (seventy-two) hours from the receipt of the appeal. After this deadline, new appeals will not be accepted.

Observations:

- Appeals will only be accepted if all the documentation presented by the candidate is in accordance with this Call (see sections II and III).
- It is emphasized that the documentation and filling out of the spreadsheets is the responsibility of the candidate.
- Reviews of grades or any requests made that contradict this Call will not be considered as appeals.
- In view of the anonymous and peer evaluation of research projects, no appeal will be allowed on the opinions issued.

IX – PROVISIONS NOT ADDRESSED IN THIS CALL

Omissions in this Call will be analyzed by the Admission Committee, Committee of PPG-Geosciences, and higher instances of the State University of Campinas (PG – UNICAMP).

Contact:

Graduate Secretariat of Institute of Geosciences, by e-mail: inscricoespggeologia@unicamp.br.

Opening hours:

From Monday to Thursday from 8am to 11am and from 1:30pm to 4pm and on Friday from 8am to 12pm, Brasilia time, DF, Brazil.

Table 7: List of Advisors.

Faculty	Research Area (*)	Openings (Master's)	Openings (Doctorate)
Alessandro Batezelli	(A)	1	1
Alexandre Campana Vidal	(A)	0	0
Alfredo Borges De-Campos	(C),(E)	1	0
Álvaro Penteado Crósta	(D)	0	1
Ana Elisa Silva de Abreu	(E)	0	0
Bernardo Tavares de Freitas	(A)	2	2
Carolina P. Natividade Moreto	(B)	3	0
Carlos Roberto de Souza Filho	(D)	2	3
Carolina Zabini	(A)	0	0
David Jozef Cornelius Debruyne	(B)	0	2
Diego Fernando Ducart	(D)	3	2
Elson Paiva de Oliveira	(B)	0	0
Emilson Pereira Leite	(D)	2	0
Fresia Ricardi-Branco	(A)	1	1
Gelvam André Hartmann	(D)	2	2
Giorgio Basilici	(A)	2	2
Jacinta Enzweiler	(C)	0	0
Jefferson de Lima Picanço	(E)	2	3
Marília de Carvalho Campos Garcia	(D)	1	1
Maria José Mesquita	(B), (E)	1	1
Ricardo Perobelli Borba	(C),(E)	1	1
Roberto Perez Xavier	(B)	0	0
Ticiano José Saraiva dos Santos	(B)	2	0
Vinícius Tieppo Meira	(B)	1	0
Wagner da Silva Amaral	(B)	2	1
Wanilson Luiz Silva	(C)	2	2

(A) Sedimentary Basin Analysis

(B) Crustal Evolution and Metallogenesis

(C) Environmental and Analytical Geochemistry

(D) Geotechnologies Applied to the Study of Natural Resources

(E) Politics and Management of Natural Resources

Observation: faculty can be contacted (by e-mail) at the following address:

(<https://portal.ige.unicamp.br/pos-graduacao/programas/geociencias/corpo-docente>)

The slots reserved for black people (black and brown) will be filled in order of classification, and

will be reserved for candidates who submit the self-declaration (Annex 4) and indicate that they wish to compete for the slots in the registration form. If these slots are not filled by black people, they will be offered to candidates approved by the broad competition. In the event that all vacancies for optants are filled, the other candidates who approved options in the selective process will be classified on the list of broad competition.

ANNEXES

1. Registration Form
2. Table of Scores for Admission
3. Table for Discrimination of Documents Sent
4. Self-Declaration Form

Process of Investigation of Candidates for Ethnic-Racial Quotas

During the Admission Process, an Investigation Commission will be formed, organized in partnership with the Investigation Commission – CAVU and the Advisory Commission on Ethnic-Racial Diversity – CADER, which will carry out the investigation process, remotely, regarding the consistency of the option, by the candidate, for participation in the Affirmative Action modality. This Commission will use exclusively the black phenotypic criterion (black and brown PP) to measure the declared condition, according to ADC-41/DF which ensures the constitutionality of the commission (<http://portal.stf.jus.br/noticias/verNoticiaDetalhe.asp?idConteudo=346140>), and according to GR resolution 074/2020 that regulates the procedure for Supplementary Investigation to the self-declaration of black and brown candidates, by virtual assessment (remote mode), for purposes of filling the vacancies reserved in the ethnic-racial quota system of UNICAMP (<https://www.pg.unicamp.br/norma/21795/0>). The operation protocol of the Investigation (remote or in person) will be released in a timely fashion and the information will be sent to the candidates by e-mail. The result of the Investigation Commission will be informed by e-mail to the candidate immediately after the end of the interview. Appeals to the Investigation Commission must be made within 3 (three) hours after receipt of the communication, through an electronic form available in the message sent with the result of the Investigation Commission.



Annex 4

SELF-DECLARATION FORM

Name:

ID or Passport:

CPF:

I declare myself to be Black with black or brown skin and I assume the option of competing for the vacancies reserved for Black candidates, according to the criteria and procedures defined by the Admission Process of the PPG-Geosciences - UNICAMP.

The information provided in this statement is my sole responsibility, and I am aware that I may be criminally liable in case of falsehood.

[City], [Date]

Name and Signature of the Candidate